

United States Patent and Trademark Office

T OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,722 01/07/2004		Steven H. Voldman	BUR920030097US1	1721	
30449 7	590 04/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCHMEISER, OLSEN + WATTS 3 LEAR JET LANE SUITE 201 LATHAM, NY 12110			JACKSON JR, JEROME		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	plicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/707,722	<u> </u>	VOLDMAN, STEVEN H.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jerome Jac	kson Jr.	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the distribution of the provided of the mailing the plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statut will apply and will a, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2005. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 and 17-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
10) 🖾 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ acce∣ drawing(s) be tion is require	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-8 and 17-20 in the reply filed on 1/31/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims are related and the extra search would allegedly not be a burden. This is not found persuasive because applicant has not shown any error in the alternate method given for restriction purposes and the extra search would be a burden of time.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 17 the recitation "the opening comprising the semiconductor material but not comprising the second doping impurities" is vague and indefinite as the opening apparently comprises second doping impurities from layer 130 which is n-doped. See the figures. The claimed structure is vague and indefinite.

Claim 2 is rejected as it is not shown where or how the electrode structure 150,160 is in direct physical contact with the first layer 120. There are no figures or explanation of anode 150 in direct electrical contact with first layer 120.

Other claims are rejected for dependence on a rejected claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/707,722

Art Unit: 2815

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4,8,17-20, as far as definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Canclini '737.

Canclini teaches a diode structure including a substrate 2 with p type impurities; a first layer 1,6 of n type impurities having an opening between layers 1 and 6; a second layer 3 of n-type impurities having lower impurity concentration than layer 1,6; and electrode structures 17,5 and 13 including a cathode and an anode. Accordingly claim 1 is anticipated by Canclini. Claim 2 is rejected as the electrode structure 17,5 is in direct contact with the first layer. Claim 3 is rejected as the anode 13 of Canclini is p+doped and the cathode 17,5 is n+ doped. Claim 4 is rejected as the opening of Canclini determines a breakdown voltage. The functional language in the claim does not structurally distinguish over Canclini which can function in the same manner. There are also no particularly claimed sizes or breakdown voltages which would in any way structurally distinguish over Canclini. Claim 7 is rejected as prior art structure as figures 1-3 of Canclini show no separation of the n+ buried layer and the final product of claim 7 is anticipated regardless of the process used to make it. Claim 8 is rejected as the same doping types are practiced in Canclini. Claim 17-20 are likewise rejected as above.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canclini in view of Russ '750.

Russ suggests shallow isolation between anode and cathode layers and deep trench isolation between devices to improve breakdown voltage. See figures 2,4,6 for example. Claims 5 and 6 are obvious structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571 272 1730. The examiner can normally be reached on t-th 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571 272 1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER